

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,498	05/10/2001	Gary D. Jerdee	71163	7129
. 75	90 03/25/2003			
Mark L. Davis			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 9293			AFTERGUT, JEFF H	
Gray, TN 3761	15-9293		THE LEARN OF	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
			ART UNIT	. PAPER NUMBER
			1733	,
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/852,498	JERDEE ET AL.		
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jeff H. Aftergut	1733		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TO A specifical rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a name application in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official intelly filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official Intelligible (1) and (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked (3) are reply received by the Official Intelligible (3) and (4) are reply received by the Official Intelligible (3) are reply received by the Official Intelligible (3) are reply received by the Official Intelligible (3) are reply received by the Official Intelligible (4) are reply received by the Official Intelligibl	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply collater than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:			
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: none.				
Claim(s) objected to: none.				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-20</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 21.				
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·		
10. Other:				
		Jeff H. Aftergut Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1733		



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment which deletes from the list of polymers which was added in the adhesive was an elastomer would require further seach and/or consideration. The reference to Taft taught that an elastomer would have been added with the copolymer of ethylene and butyl acrylate. It should be ntoed that the reference also suggested that as the tackifier one would have incorporated a butadiene styrene resin therein (column 5, lines 33-44) which was clearly included within the class of resins added to the copolymer of ethylene and butyl acrylate. However, the amendment appears to exclude not only the elastomer but also the use of polypropylene which appear to both be required by Taft. As such the proposed amendment raises new issues requiring further search and/or consideration. Whether or not the claim excludes the use of actactic polypropylene has not been fully addressed, and the exclusio of the same from the composition with the known resulting effect (i.e. less compatibility with the tackifying resin) would have been within the purview of the ordinary artisan.